

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,066	01/15/2002	David Tye	021878.0002US1	2831	
34284	7590 10/03/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBERT D. FISH; RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP			CHIN, P	CHIN, PAUL T	
P.O. BOX 19 611 ANTON	950 I BLVD., 14TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SA, CA 92628-1950		3652	3652	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	_				
Office Action Summary		10/046,066	TYE, DAVID	乏				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
·		PAUL T. CHIN	3652					
The MAILING DATE o Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ess				
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified abo</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or exter</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later earned patent term adjustment. See</li> </ul>	IIS COMMUNICATION.  under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1:  ng date of this communication.  is less than thirty (30) days, a reply  ve, the maximum statutory period v  ided period for reply will, by statute  than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a a within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status  1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>15                                    </u>	lanuary 2002						
<u>'</u>		is action is non-final.						
' <del>-</del>	•—		tters prosecution as to the r	morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	anding in the application							
4)								
•	n(s) is/are withdraw	VII IIOIII COIISIGEI AUOII.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
•		r clastica requirement						
8)⊡ Claim(s) are ડા Application Papers	ibject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
9) The specification is ob	iected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 11	9 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for foreigi	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c								
1. Certified copies	of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies	of the priority document	s have been received in A	Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for domest	c priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).				
a) ☐ The translation of 15)☐ Acknowledgment is ma		ovisional application has bic priority under 35 U.S.C						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTC 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent I 3)  Information Disclosure Statemen	Prawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Informal Patent Application (PTO-1					
S, Patent and Trademark Office			<del></del>					

Art Unit; 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

- 1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: "202" (frame). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "pressure sensor" (Claims 4,10,12), "interface" (claims 2,9), and "a motorized base" (claims 3,6,7,11, and 15), must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Specification

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: it appears that on page 2, line 6, that the filing date "20" should be -- 10 --; on page 6, line 20, the reference number "204" should be -- 206 -- (plate); on page 7, line 21, the reference number "310" should

Art Unit: 3652

be -- 308 -- (first platform); and on page 8, line 20, the reference number "306" should be -- 307 -- (rack). Appropriate correction is required.

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 3,4,6,7,11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The exact meanings of the claimed language "a motorized base" (claims 3,6,7,11, and 15) are not clearly understood as to whether the "motorized" refers to "a drive" (222,226) or "other structural elements". The word "motor" is not found in the specification.

Applicant describes "the drive (222) that can be powered with any convenient manner: electrical, hydraulic, and pneumatic" (see paragraph 14).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 3652

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9. Claims 5-7 and 11-17, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Grams et al. (6,371,717).

Grams et al. (6,371,717) discloses a plurality of water bottle gripping and loading device, comprising a frame (80,90); a first set of gripping heads (140,140) mounted on the frame; a plurality of shoulder pads (146,146) surrounding each of the gripping heads (see Figs. 4-6); and a second set of gripping heads (140,140) (see Fig. 2) adjacent to the first set of gripping heads having a base (see Figs. 5a and 5b) and a plurality of claws (142,142) (Fig. 5a) mounted on the base wherein the claws are controlled by the robotic control system (16)(Col 7, lines 47-53).

Re claims 10 and 12, Grams et al.' gripping and loading device (6,371,717) further shows sensors on the mechanism (140) for sensing (see Col 7, lines 47-53).

10. Claims 1,5-7,8,11, and 13-18, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tolsma (2,924,484).

Tolsma (2,924,484) discloses a gripping and loading device and method, comprising a frame having a first (1) and a second (14) parallel elongated support structure; a first set of gripping heads (31,31) (Fig. 3) mounted on the first support structure; a plurality of shoulder pads (33,33) (Fig. 3) adjacent the first gripping head; a plurality of substantially extendable and pivotal arms (2,2) mounted on the second support structure; and a second set of gripping heads (20,20) mounted on an end of the extendable arms; a base (36,40); and a plurality of claws (34,34) (Figs. 6 and 7) mounted on the base; and a method for

Art Unit; 3652

loading and unloading a plurality of barrels. Tolsma's gripping and loading device and method (2,924,484) contains all the structural elements while the intended use (i.e. loading and unloading bottles) is not patentably significant.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 2,3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tolsma (2,924,484).

Tolsma's gripping and loading device and method (2,924,484), as presented in section 10 above, does not show an interface for connecting the device to an automated system.

However, it would have been an obvious to one skilled on the art to provide an interface (instead of using a sling and crane) on the Tolsma's gripping and loading device in order to lift and move the device automatically.

13. Claims 4,10, and 12, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tolsma (2,924,484) in view of Grams et al. (6,371,717).

Tolsma's gripping and loading device and method (2,924,484), as presented in section 10 above, does not show *a sensor* for sensing the gripping.

Grams et al.' gripping and loading device (6,371,717), as presented in section 9 above, shows sensors on the mechanism (140) for sensing (see Col 7, lines 47-53).

Art Unit; 3652

Accordingly, it would have been an obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide *sensors* on the gripping pads of Tolsma as taught by Grams et al. in order to sense the gripping of the claws to the object gripped.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Burcham et al. (5,471,738) shows a robotic system for gripping objects.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-1113.

PAUL T. CHIN

Examiner
Art Unit 3652

**PTC** 

September 24, 2003